

**To:** Richardson, RobinH[Richardson.RobinH@epa.gov]  
**Cc:** James Woolford (Woolford.James@epa.gov)[Woolford.James@epa.gov]; HERRERA, ANGELES[herrera.angeles@epa.gov]; Harold Ball (Ball.Harold@epa.gov)[Ball.Harold@epa.gov]; Dustin Minor (Minor.Dustin@epa.gov)[Minor.Dustin@epa.gov]; Nancy Lindsay[Lindsay.Nancy@epa.gov]  
**Bcc:** Kao, Jessica[Kao.Jessica@epa.gov]  
**From:** Manzanilla, Enrique  
**Sent:** Mon 3/14/2016 7:48:15 PM  
**Subject:** FW: Briefing Materials for Amodei call  
[00 JB briefing call info.pdf](#)  
[01 Congressman Mark Amodei - Profile.pdf](#)  
[02 Response to Congressman Amodei Specific Questions.pdf](#)  
[03 Sandoval Anaconda response.pdf](#)  
[04 Anaconda Sandoval talking points v.5.pdf](#)  
[06 Anaconda JB Gov letter.pdf](#)  
[07 ARC position on alternative funding 20160128 w highlight.pdf](#)  
[08 NV NPL briefing.pdf](#)  
[09 Anaconda FMS Photos.pdf](#)  
[11 NV Superfund Overview.pdf](#)

Per my voicemail....

I want to highlight the “Response to Cong Amodei specific questions” and the following response that was transmitted to the Congressman’s staff:

**6. If the Anaconda mine Site is added to the NPL, do we expect to receive a dedicated funding source to begin clean-up immediately?**

At most sites, final addition to the NPL begins the process of more intensive site evaluation and selection of cleanup remedies, followed by design and construction of those remedies. This process can take several years. When a site is on the NPL, its cleanup remedies are eligible for federal funding. Factors that affect how soon EPA funds a project include other competing needs, available funds and site-specific conditions. It is typically several years after a site is placed on the NPL before funds for remediation activities (i.e., long- term cleanup—as opposed to investigation, study and design) are needed for a given project.

At Anaconda, and in particular the Arimetco OU8, we have already completed several steps in the CERCLA process and are ready to propose a remedial action. The latter work was affirmed by an independent engineering study completed by Nevada in the spring of 2015. Our consensus on the remedial approach would make the site eligible to compete for federal funding shortly after we are able to list the site on the NPL. Given the gravity of the situation at OU8, we believe that the Agency would consider this a priority for funding.

Jared, the team and I participated in a follow up call with the Congressman and his staff several weeks ago. My staff worked with yours to develop these responses.

Enrique Manzanilla

Director, Superfund Division

US EPA Region 9 - Pacific Southwest

(415) 972 3843

**From:** Ball, Harold

**Sent:** Wednesday, February 03, 2016 10:38 AM

**To:** Herrera, Angeles <Herrera.Angeles@epa.gov>

**Cc:** Manzanilla, Enrique <Manzanilla.Enrique@epa.gov>; Seter, David <Seter.David@epa.gov>; Maier, Brent <Maier.Brent@epa.gov>

**Subject:** Briefing Materials for Amodei call

Attached is the complete package we are bringing to our discussion today with the exception of the previously delivered PowerPoint (5.Anaconda Congressional Briefing (PowerPoint 01/19/2016) and groundwater figure (10.Anaconda GW fig uranium shallow (08/2014)) since they are big files for email but are available on request.

=====

Harold Ball, Chief, NV & Federal Facilities Section (S82), EPA Region 9 Superfund, w) 415.972.3047, c) 415.819.9821, [ball.harold@epa.gov](mailto:ball.harold@epa.gov)